UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,071	05/26/2006	Ulrich Begemann	P29681	1477
	7590 06/30/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0		EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE		HALPERN, MARK	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/596,071	BEGEMANN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark Halpern	1791	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DEPLIES THE MAILING DE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	May 2009		
•		s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is	
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under $E$	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-42</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	on.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>39-42</u> is/are withdray			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>18-38</u> is/are rejected.			
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.		
	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	nr.		
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc		- - - - -	
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •	
		varianci. Note the attached Office	Action of 10mm 10-102.	
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/596,071 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 5/19/2009.

Claim 18 is amended. Claims 39-42 remain withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2) Claims 18-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 18 recites in line 6, "<u>a coating color distribution chamber,"</u> and recites in lines 7-8, "a film press having at least one film roll structured and arranged to apply a coating color <u>supplied by the coating color distribution chamber</u>;". "A coating color distribution chamber" is not supported in the original Specification and is considered as new matter.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3) Claims 18-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites in line 6, "<u>a coating color distribution chamber,"</u> and recites in lines 7-8, "a film press having at least one film roll structured and arranged to apply a coating color <u>supplied by the coating color distribution chamber;"</u>. "A coating color distribution chamber" is not clear since it is not supported in the original Specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4) Claims 18-25, 28-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ahonen (6,413,371).

Claim 18: Ahonen discloses a paper machine that includes a wire section 200, a pressing section 300, a drying section 400, a film press to apply a color coating 500, a calender 700, and a winding reel 800 (Abstract, col. 4, line 44 to col. 5, line 59, and Figure 1). Ahonen is silent on a coating color distribution chamber for a film press to apply a color coating 500, however, it would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art

Art Unit: 1791

at the time the invention was made, that a coating color distribution chamber is to be included since such a chamber is a key element to supply color coating in the paper manufacturing process of Ahonen.

Claim 19: gap former 250 between twin wires 215 and 216 is disclosed (col. 4, lines 44-65).

Claims 20, 22-23: the apparatus includes a forward dryer section 400 and an impingement dryer 450 and a conventional cylinder dryer 460 which includes dryer groups R<sub>1</sub>-R<sub>N</sub>. The forward dryer section 400 reads on predryer (col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 8). Impingement dryer 450 reads on a float dryer with hot air.

Claim 21: smoothing with rolls occurs before film pressing.

Claims 24-25: Ahonen is silent on the wire fabric quality, however, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to utilize felt of fine quality to obtain good product results.

Claims 28-35: Ahonen discloses coating color. In view that the present Specification does not define the binder system, the coating color of Ahonen reads on the claimed binder. Additionally, the use of a binder system would not structurally differentiate the apparatus claims over the cited prior art.

Claim 36: it would have been obvious that the film roll be structured to be of a diameter that would provide for process and product results.

Claims 37-38: Ahonen discloses moistener upstream of calender. It would have been obvious to install the nozzle moistener at a distance to obtain desired process and product results.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,071 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

5) Claims 26-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahonen in view of Meschenmoser (6,406,596). Ahonen discloses press section tandem shoe presses 350 and 360 are disclosed having extended nips and counter rolls 311, 310 and 321, 320 (col. 5, lines 8-30). Ahonen is silent on including in the press section a third press, an offset press. Meschenmoser discloses the application of an offset press in papermaking. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ahonen and Meschenmoser because such a combination would provide for improved smoothing of the web in the design of Ahonen as disclosed by Meschenmoser.

## Response to Amendment

- 6) Claims 18-23 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ahonen (6,413,371), is withdrawn in view of amended claim 18.
- 7) Applicants' arguments filed 5/19/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants allege that the cited prior art, Ahonen, does not disclose claim 18 feature of "a coating color distribution chamber," and "a film press having at least one film roll structured and arranged to apply a coating color supplied by the coating color distribution chamber;".

Ahonen is silent on a coating color distribution chamber for a film press to apply a color coating 500, however, it would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that a coating color distribution chamber is to be included

Art Unit: 1791

since such a chamber is a key element to supply color coating in the paper manufacturing process of Ahonen. Also, "a coating color distribution chamber" is not supported in the original Specification and is considered as new matter.

The resolution of the depend claims will follow the resolution of the independent claim 18.

#### Conclusion

8) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,071 Page 7

Art Unit: 1791

9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791 Serial Number

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/596,071	BEGEMANN ET AL.	BEGEMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
   Mark Halpern	1791		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 2009